

LOCALLY FINITE ADMISSIBLE SIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS

MALIHE YOUSOFZADEH

ABSTRACT. We introduce a class of Lie algebras called admissible Lie algebras. We show that a locally finite admissible simple Lie algebra contains a nonzero maximal toral subalgebra and the corresponding root system is an irreducible locally finite root system.

0. INTRODUCTION

In 1976, G.B. Seligman [Se] showed that finite dimensional simple Lie algebras containing a nonzero maximal toral subalgebra have a decomposition as

$$(*) \quad \mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{A}) \oplus (\mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \oplus (\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{C}) \oplus \mathcal{D}$$

in which $\mathcal{G}$ is a finite dimensional split simple Lie algebra and $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{S}$ are specific irreducible $\mathcal{G}$ -modules. The Lie algebra structure on $\mathcal{L}$ induces an algebra structure on the vector space $\mathfrak{b} := \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ isomorphic to a specific subalgebra of inner derivations of $\mathfrak{b}$. Following G.B. Seligman, S. Berman and R. Moody [BM] introduced the notion of a Lie algebra graded by an irreducible reduced finite root system R which is a Lie algebra containing a finite dimensional split simple Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{G}$ of type R with a Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathcal{L}$ has a weight space decomposition with respect to $\mathcal{H}$ and as an algebra, $\mathcal{L}$ is generated by weight spaces corresponding to "nonzero" weights. Next Allison, Benkart and Gao generalized [BM]'s definition to non reduced case by letting $\mathcal{G}$ be a finite dimensional split simple Lie algebra of type B, C or D if R is of type BC . In [BM], [BZ] and [ABG], the authors stated recognition theorems for root graded Lie algebras to classify such Lie algebras up to centrally isogeny, indeed any root graded Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ has a decomposition as $(*)$ with a prescribed algebra structure on the so called coordinate algebra $\mathfrak{b} := \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{C}$. In 1999, N. Stumme studied locally finite Lie algebras containing a splitting Cartan subalgebra and called such algebras, locally finite split Lie algebras. She showed that, a reduced locally finite root system, the root system of a locally finite split semisimple Lie algebra, is the direct union of finite root subsystems of semisimple types. She also proved that a locally finite split simple Lie algebra is the direct union of finite dimensional simple subalgebras. Locally finite root systems appear in the theory of Kac-Moody Lie algebras as well, more precisely, countable irreducible reduced locally

Key words and phrases. Locally finite Lie algebras, root graded Lie algebras.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 17B05, 17B20, 17B60, 17B70, 17B67.

finite root systems are the root systems of infinite rank affine Lie algebras [K, §7.11]. In 2003, O. Loos and E. Neher [LN] introduced locally finite root systems axiomatically and gave a complete description of these root systems. Next Neher [N] generalized the definition of a root graded Lie algebra to Lie algebras graded by a locally finite root system. In Neher's sense, if R is a locally finite root system, S is a subsystem of R and Λ is an abelian group, an (R, S, Λ) -graded Lie algebra is a compatible $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$ -graded and Λ -graded Lie algebra whose support with respect to $\text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R)$ -grading is contained in R , for every $0 \neq \alpha \in S$, the homogeneous space $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}^0$ contains a so called invertible element and $\mathcal{L}_0 = \sum_{0 \neq \alpha \in R} [\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}]$. In this work, we first study the direct union $\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_n$ of finite dimensional simple Lie algebras $\mathcal{G}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, containing a nonzero maximal toral subalgebra, these Lie algebras are $(R, R_{\text{div}}, 0)$ -graded Lie algebras (see Definition 1.1) in Neher's sense for a locally finite root system R . Here we study a class of Lie algebras, called admissible Lie algebras. An admissible Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ has a nonzero toral subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ contained in the subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ generated by the weight spaces such that for any nonzero weight vector x , there is a weight vector y such that $[x, y] \in \mathcal{H}$ and $(x, [x, y], y)$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple. We show that if the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is locally finite simple Lie algebra whose weight spaces are finite dimensional, then $\mathcal{H}$ is a maximal toral subalgebra, the root system of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}$ is a locally finite root system and $\mathcal{L}$ is the direct union of finite dimensional simple subalgebras.

1. ADMISSIBLE LIE ALGEBRAS

Throughout this work $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of all nonnegative integers and $\mathbb{F}$ is a field of characteristic zero. Unless otherwise mentioned, all vector spaces are considered over $\mathbb{F}$. In the present paper, we denote the dual space of a vector space V by V^* and by $GL(V)$, we mean the group of automorphisms of V . For a matrix A , $\text{tr}(A)$ denotes the trace of A . Also for a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$, we mean by $Z(\mathcal{L})$, the center of $\mathcal{L}$ and if $\mathcal{L}$ is finite dimensional, we denote the Killing form of $\mathcal{L}$ by κ . We also make a convention that for elements $x_1, \dots, x_m$ of a Lie algebra, by an expression of the form $[x_1, \dots, x_m]$, we always mean $[x_1, \dots, [x_{m-1}, x_m] \dots]$ to be zero.

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a nontrivial vector space and R be a subset of $\mathcal{V}$, R is said to be a *locally finite root system* in $\mathcal{V}$ if

- (i) $0 \notin R$, R is locally finite and spans $\mathcal{V}$,
- (ii) for every $\alpha \in R$, there exists $\check{\alpha} \in \mathcal{V}^*$ such that $\check{\alpha}(\alpha) = 2$ and $s_{\alpha}(\beta) \in R$ for $\alpha, \beta \in R$ where $s_{\alpha} : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$ maps $v \in \mathcal{V}$ to $v - \check{\alpha}(v)\alpha$.
- (iii) $\check{\alpha}(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$, for $\alpha, \beta \in R$.

Set $R_{\text{div}} := R \setminus \{\alpha \in R \mid 2\alpha \in R\}$ and call it the semi-divisible subset of R , the root system R is called *reduced* if $R = R_{\text{div}}$.

Suppose that R is a locally finite root system. A nonempty subset S of R is said to be a *subsystem* of R if $s_{\alpha}(\beta) \in S$ for $\alpha, \beta \in S$. Following [LN, §2.6], we say two roots α, β are *connected* if there exist finitely many roots

$\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n = \beta$ such that $\check{\alpha}_{i+1}(\alpha_i) \neq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Connectedness is an equivalence relation on R . The root system R is the disjoint union of its connected components. A nonempty subset X of R is called *irreducible*, if each two elements $x, y \in X$ are connected and it is called *closed* if for $x, y \in X$ with $x + y \in R$, we would have $x + y \in X$. It is easy to see that each connected component of the locally finite root system R is a closed subsystem of R . Also using [LN, Cor. 3.15], R is a direct limit of its finite subsystems, and if R is irreducible, it is a direct limit of its irreducible finite subsystems.

Definition 1.2. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Lie algebra. We say an $\mathcal{H}$ -module $\mathcal{M}$ has a *weight space decomposition with respect to $\mathcal{H}$* , if

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*} \mathcal{M}_\alpha \text{ where } \mathcal{M}_\alpha := \{x \in \mathcal{M} \mid h \cdot x = \alpha(h)x; \forall h \in \mathcal{H}\}; \alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*.$$

The set $R := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^* \setminus \{0\} \mid \mathcal{M}_\alpha \neq \{0\}\}$ is called the *set of weights* of $\mathcal{M}$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{M}_\alpha, \alpha \in R$, is called a *weight space*, also any element of $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ is called a *weight vector of weight α* . If a Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ has a weight space decomposition with respect to a subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ via the adjoint representation, the set of weights is called the *root system* and weight spaces are called *root spaces*.

An easy verification proves the following lemma.

Lemma 1.3. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Lie algebra and $\mathcal{M}$ be an $\mathcal{H}$ -module admitting a weight space decomposition $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*} \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}$. Let T be a subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$ and take $\pi : \mathcal{H}^* \rightarrow T^*$ to be defined by $\pi(\alpha) = \alpha|_T$, the restriction of α to T . For $\beta \in T^*$, define $\mathcal{M}'_\beta := \{v \in \mathcal{M} \mid t \cdot v = \beta(t)v; \forall t \in T\}$, then $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in T^*} \mathcal{M}'_\beta$ and for $\beta \in T^*, \mathcal{M}'_\beta = \bigoplus_{\{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*, \pi(\alpha) = \beta\}} \mathcal{M}_\alpha$.

Definition 1.4. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Lie algebra. A nontrivial subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is called a *split toral subalgebra* if $\mathcal{L}$ as an $\mathcal{H}$ -module, via the adjoint representation, has a weight space decomposition with respect to $\mathcal{H}$. One can see that a split toral subalgebra of a Lie algebra is abelian. Throughout the present paper by a toral subalgebra, we always mean a split toral subalgebra.

Now suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is a Lie algebra containing a nonzero toral subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ with corresponding root system R . The Lie algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H})$ (or $\mathcal{L}$ for simplicity) is called *admissible* if $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies the following property:

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{H} \subseteq \sum_{\alpha \in R} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] \text{ and for } \alpha \in R, 0 \neq x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha, \text{ there is } y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} \text{ such that } h := [x, y] \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } (x, h, y) \text{ is an } \mathfrak{sl}_2\text{-triple.}$$

An element $h \in \mathcal{H}$ is called a *splitting element corresponding to $\alpha \in R$* if there are $x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ and $y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}$ such that $(x, h := [x, y], y)$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple. A subset Δ of R is called *connected* with respect to a fix set $\{h_\alpha \mid \alpha \in R\}$ of splitting elements if for any $\beta, \gamma \in \Delta$, there is a finite sequence $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ of elements of Δ such that $\alpha_1 = \beta, \alpha_n = \gamma$ and $\alpha_{i+1}(h_{\alpha_i}) \neq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

A root $\alpha \in R$ is called *integrable* if there are $e_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}$ such that $h_\alpha := [e_\alpha, f_\alpha] \in \mathcal{H}$, $(e_\alpha, h_\alpha, f_\alpha)$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple and that ad_{e_α} and ad_{f_α} act locally nilpotently on $\mathcal{L}$. We denote by R_{int} , the set of integrable roots of $\mathcal{L}$ and note that if $\mathcal{L}$ is a locally finite admissible Lie algebra, then $R = R_{int}$. A subset Δ of R is called *symmetric* if $\Delta = -\Delta$ and it is called *closed* if $(\Delta + \Delta) \cap R \subseteq \Delta$.

Example 1.6. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra containing a maximal toral subalgebra $\mathcal{T}$. Take Φ to be the root system of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to $\mathcal{T}$. Using [Se, Lem. I.3 and Lem. I.5], we get that $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{T})$ is an admissible Lie algebra. Moreover Corollary to Lemma I.4 of [Se] shows that for $\beta \in \Phi$, there is a unique $k_\beta \in \mathcal{T}$ with

$$\beta(k_\beta) = 2 \text{ and } k_\beta = [u, v] \text{ for some } u \in \mathcal{L}_\beta, v \in \mathcal{L}_{-\beta}.$$

Using [Se, Lem. I.5 and Lem. I.6], we get that

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{T} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{k_\beta \mid \beta \in \Phi\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}^* = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{\beta \mid \beta \in \Phi\}.$$

Following the proof of Lemma I.5 of [Se], we get that if $\beta \in \Phi$, then $\kappa(k_\beta, k_\beta) \neq 0$ and for $t \in \mathcal{T}$, $\beta(t) = 2\kappa(t, k_\beta)/\kappa(k_\beta, k_\beta)$, now (1.7) implies that the linear transformation from $\mathcal{T}$ to $\mathcal{T}^*$ mapping $t \mapsto \kappa(t, \cdot)$, $t \in \mathcal{T}$, is onto and so is one to one, this in particular implies that the Killing form restricted to $\mathcal{T}$ is non-degenerate and that for $\beta \in \Phi$, $2k_\beta/\kappa(k_\beta, k_\beta)$ is the unique element of $\mathcal{T}$ representing β through the Killing form. Therefore one concludes that

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \Phi \text{ are such that } \alpha \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}, \\ \text{then } k_\alpha \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{k_{\beta_1}, \dots, k_{\beta_n}\}. \end{array}$$

From now on we assume $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H})$ is an admissible Lie algebra with nonempty root system R .

Using $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module theory, one can easily prove the following proposition.

Proposition 1.9. *Let $\alpha \in R$. Take $e \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ and $f \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}$ to be such that $(e, h := [e, f], f)$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple and set $\mathfrak{g} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{e, h, f\}$. Suppose ad_e and ad_f act locally nilpotently on $\mathcal{L}$, then the followings are satisfied:*

- (i) *For $\beta \in R$, $\beta(h) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta - \beta(h)\alpha \in R$.*
- (ii) *If $\beta \in R$ is such that $\alpha + \beta \in R$, we have $[e, \mathcal{L}_\beta] \neq \{0\}$, in particular, $[\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\beta] \neq \{0\}$.*
- (iii) *For $\beta \in R$, $\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \beta + k\alpha \in R \cup \{0\}\}$ is an interval.*
- (iv) *For $\beta \in R$, if $\beta(h) > 0$, then $\beta - \alpha \in R \cup \{0\}$ and if $\beta(h) < 0$, then $\beta + \alpha \in R \cup \{0\}$.*
- (v) *If $k \in \mathbb{F}$ and $k\alpha \in R$, then $k \in \mathbb{Z}/2$.*
- (vi) *$\{k\alpha \mid k \in \mathbb{F}\} \cap R_{int} \subseteq \{\pm\alpha, \pm(1/2)\alpha, \pm2\alpha\}$.*

Now use Proposition 1.9(i), (iv) together with the same argument as in [LN, §10.2] to prove the following Corollary:

Corollary 1.10. *If $R = R_{int}$, R has the partial sum property, in the sense that if $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in R \cup \{0\}$ are such that $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = \beta$, there is a permutation π of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\alpha_{\pi(1)} + \dots + \alpha_{\pi(i)} \in R \cup \{0\}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*

Proposition 1.11. *Suppose that $R = R_{int}$.*

(a) *For an ideal I of $\mathcal{L}$, set $R_I := \{\alpha \in R \mid I \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha \neq \{0\}\}$, then R_I is a symmetric closed subset of R and $I = (I \cap \mathcal{L}_0) \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R} (I \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha) = (I \cap \mathcal{L}_0) \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R_I} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$. Moreover if I is simple as a Lie algebra, $I \cap \mathcal{L}_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in R_I} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}]$.*

(b) *If $\mathcal{L}$ is semisimple (in the sense that it is a direct sum of simple ideals), then $\mathcal{L}_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in R} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}]$.*

(c) *Let I be an ideal of $\mathcal{L}$. Define $\Delta := R \setminus R_I$ and set $J := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$. If $\mathcal{L}_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in R} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}]$, then J is an ideal of $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L} = I + J$ and $I \cap J \subseteq Z(\mathcal{L})$.*

Proof. (a) Since I is an ideal of $\mathcal{L}$, we have $I = (I \cap \mathcal{L}_0) \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R} (I \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha)$ by [MP, Pro. 2.1.1]. Now if $\alpha \in R_I$ and $0 \neq x \in I \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, then by (1.5), there exists $y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}$ such that $(x, h := [x, y], y)$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple. Now as $x \in I$, one gets that $h, y \in I$ and for all $z \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, $2z = \alpha(h)z = [h, z] \in I$. So we have

$$(1.12) \quad R_I = -R_I \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_\alpha \subseteq I; \quad \alpha \in R_I.$$

This in particular implies that $I = (I \cap \mathcal{L}_0) \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R_I} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$. Now this together with Proposition 1.9(ii) implies that R_I is closed. For the last assertion, one can easily check that $I_c := \sum_{\alpha \in R_I} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R_I} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ is an ideal of I and so we are done as I is simple.

(b) Since $\mathcal{L}$ is semisimple, there are an index set A and simple ideals $\mathcal{L}^i$, $i \in A$, of $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathcal{L} = \oplus_{i \in A} \mathcal{L}^i$. For $i \in A$, use the notation as in the previous part and set $R_i := R_{\mathcal{L}^i}$, since $\mathcal{L}^i$ is simple, part (a) implies that $\mathcal{L}^i = \sum_{\alpha \in R_i} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R_i} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$. Now we are done as $\mathcal{L} = \oplus_{i \in A} \mathcal{L}^i$.

(c) Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in R$, then thanks to (1.12), we have

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\beta] &\subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\alpha+\beta} \cap I \text{ if } \alpha \in R_I \text{ or } \beta \in R_I \\ [\mathcal{L}_{\alpha+\beta}, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] &\subseteq \mathcal{L}_\beta \cap I \text{ if } \alpha \in R_I \text{ or } \alpha + \beta \in R_I. \end{aligned}$$

So using Proposition 1.9(ii), we have

$$(1.14) \quad [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\beta] \subseteq \begin{cases} I & \text{if } \alpha, \beta \in R_I, \\ J & \text{if } \alpha, \beta \in \Delta, \\ \{0\} & \text{if } \alpha \in R_I, \beta \in \Delta. \end{cases}$$

This in particular implies that

$$(1.15) \quad \left[\sum_{\alpha \in R_I} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] + \sum_{\alpha \in R_I} \mathcal{L}_\alpha, \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} [\mathcal{L}_\beta, \mathcal{L}_{-\beta}] \right] = \{0\}.$$

Now (1.14),(1.15) imply that

$$(1.16) \quad \begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}, J] &= \left[\sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathcal{L}_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \in R} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}], J \right] = \left[\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathcal{L}_\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}], J \right] \\ &\subseteq [J, J] \subseteq J \end{aligned}$$

which means that J is an ideal of $\mathcal{L}$. Also as $\sum_{\alpha \in R_I} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] \subseteq I$, one gets that

$$(1.17) \quad \mathcal{L} = I + J.$$

Now suppose that $x \in I \cap J$, then $x \in I \cap \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}]$, so by (1.15),

$$(1.18) \quad \left[x, \sum_{\alpha \in R_I} \mathcal{L}_\alpha \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R_I} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] \right] = \{0\}.$$

On the other hand since $x \in I \cap \mathcal{L}_0$, we have

$$[x, \mathcal{L}_\alpha] = \{0\}; \quad \alpha \in \Delta.$$

This in particular implies that $[x, \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathcal{L}_\alpha] = \{0\}$. Now this together with (1.17) and (1.18) implies that $x \in Z(\mathcal{L})$. $\square$

Corollary 1.19. *Suppose that $R = R_{int}$. Set $\mathcal{L}_c := \mathcal{L}_{0,0} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ where $\mathcal{L}_{0,0} := \sum_{\alpha \in R} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}]$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{cc} := \mathcal{L}_c / Z(\mathcal{L}_c)$ is a semisimple Lie algebra. In particular, if $\mathcal{L}$ is finite dimensional, $\mathcal{L}_c$ is semisimple.*

Proof. It follows from (1.5) that the canonical projection map $\mathcal{L}_c \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{cc}$ restricted to $\sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ is injective, so we identify $\sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$, also it is easy to see that $(\mathcal{L}_{cc}, \frac{\mathcal{H} + Z(\mathcal{L}_c)}{Z(\mathcal{L}_c)})$ is an admissible Lie algebra whose root system can be identified with R . More precisely, we have the following weight space decomposition for $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$ with respect to $(\mathcal{H} + Z(\mathcal{L}_c)) / Z(\mathcal{L}_c)$:

$$(1.20) \quad \mathcal{L}_{cc} = (\mathcal{L}_{cc})_0 \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R} (\mathcal{L}_{cc})_\alpha \text{ with}$$

$$(\mathcal{L}_{cc})_0 = \mathcal{L}_{0,0} / Z(\mathcal{L}_c), (\mathcal{L}_{cc})_\alpha = \mathcal{L}_\alpha, \alpha \in R.$$

Moreover we have $R = R_{int}$, $(\mathcal{L}_{cc})_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in R} [(\mathcal{L}_{cc})_\alpha, (\mathcal{L}_{cc})_{-\alpha}]$ and $Z(\mathcal{L}_{cc}) = \{0\}$. Using Proposition 1.11(c), we get that for any ideal I of $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$, there is an ideal J of $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$ such that $\mathcal{L} = I \oplus J$, this results in that $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$ is semisimple (see [J, §3.5]). Now suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is finite dimensional and take $\mathfrak{r}$ to be the solvable radical of $\mathcal{L}_c$, then $Z(\mathcal{L}_c) \subseteq \mathfrak{r}$. Also as $\mathfrak{r}$ is solvable, we get that $\mathfrak{r} / Z(\mathcal{L}_c)$ is a solvable ideal of the semisimple Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_{cc}$. So $\mathfrak{r} / Z(\mathcal{L}_c) = \{0\}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{r} = Z(\mathcal{L}_c)$. This means that $\mathcal{L}_c$ is a reductive Lie algebra, now as $\mathcal{L}_c$ is perfect, one concludes that $\mathcal{L}_c = [\mathcal{L}_c, \mathcal{L}_c]$ is semisimple. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 1.21. *For a subset Δ of R , set $\mathcal{L}_\Delta := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{H}_\Delta := \mathcal{H} \cap \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}]$. Suppose that $\Delta \subseteq R_{int}$ is symmetric and closed and take $\pi_\Delta : \mathcal{H}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\Delta^*$ to be defined by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha|_{\mathcal{H}_\Delta}$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*$. Then π_Δ restricted to $\Delta \cup \{0\}$ is injective. Also identifying Δ with $\pi_\Delta(\Delta)$, we have*

that $(\mathcal{L}_\Delta, \mathcal{H}_\Delta)$ is an admissible Lie algebra with root system Δ . If moreover Δ is connected with respect to a fixed set of splitting elements, then $\mathcal{L}_\Delta$ is a simple Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$.

Proof. Set $\pi := \pi_\Delta$. Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$ and $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$. We first suppose that $\gamma := \alpha - \beta \in R$, then since Δ is symmetric and closed, we have $\gamma \in \Delta$, so (1.5) guarantees the existence of $t \in \mathcal{H} \cap [\mathcal{L}_\gamma, \mathcal{L}_{-\gamma}] \subseteq \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{L}_\Delta = \mathcal{H}_\Delta$ with $\gamma(t) = 2$, so $(\alpha - \beta)(t) = 2$ which contradicts the fact that $\alpha|_{\mathcal{H}_\Delta} = \beta|_{\mathcal{H}_\Delta}$. Next suppose that $\alpha - \beta \notin R \cup \{0\}$, then $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$. Since α is integrable, there are $e \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha, f \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}$ such that $(e, h := [e, f], f)$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple and ad_e, ad_f act locally nilpotently on $\mathcal{L}$. Now since $\alpha - \beta \notin R \cup \{0\}$, Proposition 1.9(iv) implies that $\beta(h) \leq 0$. This contradicts the fact that $\pi(\alpha) = \pi(\beta)$ as $h \in \mathcal{H} \cap [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\Delta, \alpha(h) = 2$ and $\beta(h) \leq 0$. These all together imply that $\pi|_{\Delta \cup \{0\}}$ is injective. Next we note that since Δ is closed, $\mathcal{L}_\Delta$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$. One also sees that $\mathcal{L}_\Delta$ is an $\mathcal{H}$ -submodule of $\mathcal{H}$ -module $\mathcal{L}$ admitting the weight space decomposition $\mathcal{L}_\Delta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}} (\mathcal{L}_\Delta)_\alpha$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}$ where $(\mathcal{L}_\Delta)_\alpha = \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $(\mathcal{L}_\Delta)_0 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}]$. From Lemma 1.3, we know that $\mathcal{L}_\Delta$ admits a weight space decomposition $\mathcal{L}_\Delta = \oplus_{\pi(\alpha) \in \pi(\Delta \cup \{0\})} (\mathcal{L}_\Delta)_{\pi(\alpha)}$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}_\Delta$ where for $\alpha \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$,

$$(\mathcal{L}_\Delta)_{\pi(\alpha)} = \{x \in \mathcal{L}_\Delta \mid [h, x] = \alpha(h)x; \forall h \in \mathcal{H}_\Delta\} = \bigoplus_{\{\beta \in \Delta \cup \{0\}, \pi(\beta) = \pi(\alpha)\}} (\mathcal{L}_\Delta)_\beta.$$

Now this together with the injectivity of $\pi|_{\Delta \cup \{0\}}$ and the fact that $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H})$ is an admissible Lie algebra implies that $(\mathcal{L}_\Delta, \mathcal{H}_\Delta)$ is an admissible Lie algebra with root system Δ . The last sentence follows from Proposition 1.11. $\square$

Proposition 1.22. Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is a semisimple Lie algebra and $\mathcal{H}$ is a nonzero maximal toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ such that $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H})$ is an admissible Lie algebra with root system $R = R_{int}$. Take I to be an index set such that $\mathcal{L} = \oplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{L}^i$ where for $i \in I$, $\mathcal{L}^i$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{L}$ which is simple as a Lie algebra. Set $R_i := \{\alpha \in R \mid \mathcal{L}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{L}^i\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_i := \mathcal{L}^i \cap \mathcal{H}, i \in I$, then

(a) $\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i$,

(b) for $i \in I$, $\mathcal{H}_i$ is a maximal toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}^i$ and $(\mathcal{L}^i, \mathcal{H}_i)$ is a admissible Lie algebra with root system R_i .

Proof. (a) We first mention that for $i, j \in I$, we have

$$(1.23) \quad [\mathcal{L}^i, \mathcal{L}^j] \subseteq \delta_{i,j} \mathcal{L}^i.$$

Using Proposition 1.11(a), we get that for $i \in I$, $\mathcal{L}^i = \sum_{\alpha \in R_i} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R_i} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, and $\mathcal{L}_0 = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{\alpha \in R_i} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}]$. For $i \in I$, take $\pi_i : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^i$ to be the natural projection map and set T_i to be the image of $\mathcal{H}$ under π_i . Take T'_i to be a subspace of T_i such that $T_i = (\mathcal{H} \cap T_i) \oplus T'_i$. Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$, then there is a unique expression $h = \sum_{i \in I} t_i$ with a finitely many nonzero terms $t_i \in \mathcal{L}^i, i \in I$. Now fix $i \in I$, using (1.23), we have for $x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in R_i$

that

$$(1.24) \quad [\pi_i(h), x] = [t_i, x] = [\sum_{j \in I} t_j, x] = [h, x] = \alpha(h)x.$$

This in particular implies that

$$\text{if } h, h' \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } \pi_i(h) = \pi_i(h'), \text{ then for } \alpha \in R_i, \alpha(h) = \alpha(h')$$

which allows us to define $f_{t,\alpha}$ for $t \in T'_i$ and $\alpha \in R_i$ as follows:

$$(1.25) \quad f_{t,\alpha} := \alpha(h) \text{ where } h \in \mathcal{H} \text{ is such that } \pi_i(h) = t,$$

also define $f_{t,\alpha} := 0$ if $t \in T'_i$ and $\alpha \in (R \cup \{0\}) \setminus R_i$. Next suppose that $\alpha \in R \cup \{0\}$ and extend α to the functional $\alpha^i \in (\mathcal{H} \oplus T'_i)^*$ defined as follows:

$$(1.26) \quad \alpha^i(h + t) = \alpha(h) + f_{t,\alpha}; \quad h \in \mathcal{H}, \quad t \in T'_i.$$

Now one can see that $\mathcal{H} \oplus T'_i$ is a toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ with corresponding root system $\{\alpha^i \mid \alpha \in R\}$. But $\mathcal{H} \oplus T'_i$ contains the maximal toral subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$, therefore $T'_i = \{0\}$ and so $T_i = T_i \cap \mathcal{H}$ which implies that $T_i \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. This gives that $T_i \subseteq \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{L}^i = \mathcal{H}_i$, on the other hand, if $h \in \mathcal{H}_i$, then $h = \pi_i(h) \in T_i$, so we get that $T_i = \mathcal{H}_i$. Now we have $\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{i \in I} T_i$, and so $\mathcal{H} = \oplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{H}_i$.

(b) Suppose that $i \in I$. Using Proposition 1.11(a) and Lemma 1.21, we get that $(\mathcal{L}^i, \mathcal{H}_i)$ is an admissible Lie algebra with root system R_i . Next we note that any toral subalgebra T_i of $\mathcal{L}_i$ larger than $\mathcal{H}_i$ would automatically be toral in $\mathcal{L}$ and centralizes $\mathcal{H}_j$ for $j \in I \setminus \{i\}$. Now $\mathcal{H} + T_i$ is a toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ larger than $\mathcal{H}$ which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 1.27. *If $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H})$ is a finite dimensional semi-simple admissible Lie algebra, then $\mathcal{H}$ is a maximal toral subalgebra.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a maximal toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ containing $\mathcal{H}$. We first suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is simple. Since $\mathcal{T}$ is a toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{T}^*} \mathcal{L}'_\beta$ where for $\beta \in \mathcal{T}^*$, $\mathcal{L}'_\beta := \{x \in \mathcal{L} \mid [t, x] = \beta(t)x; \forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}$. Take Φ to be the root system of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to $\mathcal{T}$. Using Example 1.6, one knows that for $\beta \in \Phi$, there is a unique element $k_\beta \in \mathcal{T}$ satisfying

$$(1.28) \quad \beta(k_\beta) = 2 \text{ and } k_\beta = [u, v] \text{ for some } u \in \mathcal{L}'_\beta, v \in \mathcal{L}'_{-\beta}.$$

Using Lemma 1.3, we get that for $\alpha \in R \cup \{0\}$, $\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \bigoplus_{\beta \in A_\alpha} \mathcal{L}'_\beta$ where $A_\alpha := \{\beta \in \mathcal{T}^*, \beta|_{\mathcal{H}} = \alpha\}$. Now let $\alpha \in R$ and $\beta \in \Phi$ be such that $\beta \in A_\alpha$. Suppose that $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{L}'_\beta \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, then (1.5) guarantees the existence of $y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}$ such that $h := [x, y] \in \mathcal{H}$ and (x, h, y) is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple. Since $y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha} = \bigoplus_{\gamma \in A_\alpha} \mathcal{L}'_{-\gamma}$, we have that $y = \sum_{\gamma \in A_\alpha} y_{-\gamma}$ with $y_{-\gamma} \in \mathcal{L}'_{-\gamma}$. Now

$$h = [x, y] = [x, \sum_{\gamma \in A_\alpha} y_{-\gamma}] = \sum_{\gamma \in A_\alpha} [x, y_{-\gamma}]$$

and so $h = [x, y_{-\beta}]$ as $h \in \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{L}'_0$. Also as $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $\beta(h) = \alpha(h) = 2$. Now considering (1.28), we get from the uniqueness of k_β that $k_\beta = h$, therefore we have proved

$$(1.29) \quad k_\beta \in \mathcal{H}; \quad \text{for } \beta \in \Phi \text{ with } \beta|_{\mathcal{H}} \neq 0.$$

Now set $A := \{\beta \in \Phi \mid \beta|_{\mathcal{H}} \neq 0\}$ and $I := \sum_{\beta \in A} \mathcal{L}'_{\beta} \oplus \sum_{\beta \in A} [\mathcal{L}'_{\beta}, \mathcal{L}'_{-\beta}]$. We claim that I is an ideal of $\mathcal{L}$. For this, it is enough to show that $(\Phi + A) \cap \Phi \subseteq A$. Suppose that $\gamma \in \Phi$ and $\beta \in A$ are such that $\gamma + \beta \in \Phi$. If $\gamma|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$, then $\gamma + \beta|_{\mathcal{H}} \neq 0$ and so $\gamma + \beta \in A$ and if $\gamma|_{\mathcal{H}} \neq 0$, then (1.8) together with (1.29) implies that $k_{\gamma+\beta} \in \mathcal{H}$, now as $(\gamma + \beta)(k_{\gamma+\beta}) = 2 \neq 0$, we get that $\gamma + \beta|_{\mathcal{H}} \neq 0$, i.e., $\gamma + \beta \in A$. This shows that I is an ideal of $\mathcal{L}$, but $\mathcal{L}$ is simple, so $I = \mathcal{L}$ which in particular implies that $A = \Phi$. Now (1.7) together with (1.29) implies that $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and so $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{T}$ is a maximal toral subalgebra. Next suppose that $\mathcal{L} = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_i$ where for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\mathcal{L}_i$ is a simple ideal of $\mathcal{L}$. So by Proposition 1.11(a) and Lemma 1.21, $(\mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{L}_i)$ is a finite dimensional admissible simple Lie algebra and so using the first part of the proof, we get that $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{L}_i$ is a maximal toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_i$. Now if $\mathcal{T}$ is a maximal toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ containing $\mathcal{H}$, Example 1.6 gets that $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{T})$ is a semi-simple admissible Lie algebra. So Proposition 1.22 implies that $\mathcal{T}_i := \mathcal{L}_i \cap \mathcal{T}$ is a maximal toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_i$ and that $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{T}_n$. But $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{L}_i \subseteq \mathcal{T}_i$, therefore $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{T}_i$. Now we have $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{T}_n \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and so we are done. $\square$

Proposition 1.30. (a) Suppose that Δ is a symmetric closed finite subset of R_{int} such that $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$ is finite dimensional for any $\alpha \in \Delta$, then for $\alpha \in \Delta$, there is a unique $h_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\alpha(h_{\alpha}) = 2 \quad \text{and} \quad [x_{\alpha}, x_{-\alpha}] = h_{\alpha} \text{ for some } x_{\pm\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}_{\pm\alpha}.$$

Moreover if $\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \Delta$ are such that $\alpha \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$, then $h_{\alpha} \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{h_{\beta_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$.

(b) If $R = R_{int}$ and all the weight spaces are finite dimensional, then there is a unique set $\{h_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in R\}$ of splitting elements which we refer to as the splitting subset of $\mathcal{L}$.

Proof. (a) Set $\mathcal{L}_{\Delta} := \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} [\mathcal{L}_{\beta}, \mathcal{L}_{-\beta}] \oplus \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} \mathcal{L}_{\beta}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta} = \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{L}_{\Delta}$. Using Propositions 1.21 and Corollary 1.19, we get that $(\mathcal{L}_{\Delta}, \mathcal{H}_{\Delta})$ is a finite dimensional admissible semi-simple Lie subalgebra with root system Δ . Using Lemma 1.27, one gets that $\mathcal{H}_{\Delta}$ is a maximal toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_{\Delta}$. Now the result follows from Example 1.6.

(b) Let $\alpha \in R$ and take $\Delta := R \cap \mathbb{F}\alpha$. Using Proposition 1.9(vi) together with part (a), we are done. $\square$

Proposition 1.31. Suppose that $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H})$ is a locally finite admissible Lie algebra with corresponding root system R such that all weight spaces are finite dimensional. Take $\{h_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in R\}$ to be the splitting subset of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{V} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} R$. For $\alpha \in R$, define $\check{\alpha} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by $v \mapsto v(h_{\alpha})$, $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $s_{\alpha} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ by $v \mapsto v - \check{\alpha}(v)\alpha$, $v \in \mathcal{V}$. For $M \subseteq R$, set $M_{\pm} := M \cup -M$ and take $\mathcal{G}_M$ to be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ generated by $\cup_{\alpha \in M_{\pm}} \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$, finally set $\Delta_M := \{\alpha \in R \mid \mathcal{G}_M \cap \mathcal{L}_{\alpha} \neq \{0\}\}$, then we have the followings:

(a) For $M \subseteq R$, Δ_M is a symmetric closed subset of R , in particular $\mathcal{L}_{\Delta_M} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_M} [\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_M} \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$.

(b) If M is a subset of R and $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_M$, then $s_\alpha(\beta) \in \Delta_M$. Also if M is a finite subset of R , $\mathcal{G}_M$ is a finite dimensional Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ and Δ_M is a finite root system in its span where the reflection based on $\alpha \in \Delta_M$ is s_α restricted to $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_M$.

(c) If $\alpha, \beta \in R$, then $\beta(h_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-4, 4]$.

(d) R is a locally finite root system in its span where the reflection based on $\alpha \in R$ is s_α and if $\mathcal{L}$ is semi-simple, the necessary and sufficient condition for R to be irreducible is that $\mathcal{L}$ is simple. Also for $M \subseteq R$, Δ_M is a closed subsystem of R which is irreducible if M is irreducible.

Proof. (a) We first recall that as $\mathcal{L}$ is locally finite, for any weight vector x , ad_x acts locally nilpotently on $\mathcal{L}$. Now we show that Δ_M is symmetric. One knows that each element of $\mathcal{G}_M$ is a sum of elements of the form $[x_n, \dots, x_1]$ where $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $x_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i}$, for some $\alpha_i \in M_\pm$. Now if $\alpha \in \Delta_M$, $\mathcal{G}_M \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha \neq \{0\}$, so there are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in M_\pm$ such that $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ and there are $x_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $0 \neq x := [x_n, \dots, x_1] \in \mathcal{G}_M \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha$. We use induction on n to show that Δ_M is symmetric. If $n = 1$, then $\alpha \in M_\pm$ and so $-\alpha \in M_\pm \subseteq \Delta_M$. Now let $\alpha \in \Delta_M$ be such that there are $n \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq 2}$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in M_\pm$ and $x_i \in \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ and $0 \neq x := [x_n, \dots, x_1] \in \mathcal{G}_M \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha$. Therefore $0 \neq [x_{n-1}, \dots, x_1] \in \mathcal{G}_M \cap \mathcal{L}_\beta$ where $\beta := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i$. Now we have $\beta, \alpha_n, \alpha = \beta + \alpha_n \in \Delta_M \subseteq R$. Using the induction hypothesis, we get that $-\beta \in \Delta_M$ and so there is a nonzero $y \in \mathcal{L}_{-\beta} \cap \mathcal{G}_M$. Now contemplating Proposition 1.9(ii), we have that $\{0\} \neq [y, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha_n}] \subseteq (\mathcal{G}_M \cap \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha_n-\beta})$ which shows that $-\alpha \in \Delta_M$. Next we show that Δ_M is closed. We first show that

$$(1.32) \quad \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta_M \text{ and } \beta \in M_\pm \text{ are such that } \alpha + \beta \in R, \text{ then } \alpha + \beta \in \Delta_M.$$

Take $\alpha \in \Delta_M$ and $\beta \in M_\pm$ to be such that $\alpha + \beta \in R$ and x to be a nonzero element of $\mathcal{G}_M \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha$. Using Lemma 1.9(ii), we get that $0 \neq [x, \mathcal{L}_\beta] \subseteq [\mathcal{G}_M, \mathcal{G}_M] \subseteq \mathcal{G}_M$ and so we are done as $[x, \mathcal{L}_\beta] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\alpha+\beta}$. Now suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_M$ and $\alpha + \beta \in R$. Since $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_M$, there is $\{\alpha_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{\beta_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq m\} \subseteq M_\pm$ such that $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$ and $\beta = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j$. For $1 \leq i \leq m+n$, set

$$\gamma_i := \begin{cases} \alpha_i, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \beta_{i-n}, & \text{if } n+1 \leq i \leq n+m, \end{cases}$$

then $\gamma_i \in M_\pm$, $1 \leq i \leq m+n$ and since $\alpha + \beta \in R$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \gamma_i \in R$. Now by Corollary 1.10, there exists a permutation π on $\{1, \dots, m+n\}$ such that all partial sums $\sum_{i=1}^t \gamma_{\pi(i)} \in R \cup \{0\}$, $1 \leq t \leq m+n$. Now using (1.32) together with an induction process, we get that $\alpha + \beta = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \gamma_i \in \Delta_M$. This means that Δ_M is a closed. This completes the proof.

(b) Since $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_M \subseteq R$, by Proposition 1.9(i), $\check{\alpha}(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s_\alpha(\beta) \in R$. Now take $e_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ and $f_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}$ to be such that $h_\alpha = [e_\alpha, f_\alpha]$ and $(e_\alpha, h_\alpha, f_\alpha)$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple, setting $\theta_\alpha := \exp(\text{ad}_{e_\alpha})\exp(\text{ad}_{-f_\alpha})\exp(\text{ad}_{e_\alpha})$, we get that $\{0\} \neq \theta_\alpha(\mathcal{L}_\beta) \subseteq (\mathcal{L}_{s_\alpha(\beta)} \cap \mathcal{L}_{\Delta_M})$ and so $s_\alpha(\beta) \in \Delta_M$. Now if M

is a finite set, since $\mathcal{L}$ is locally finite, $\mathcal{G}_M$ is a finite dimensional subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ and so Δ_M is finite. This completes the proof.

(c) Set $M := \{\alpha, \beta\}$, by part (b), Δ_M is a finite root system in $\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \Delta_M$ where the reflection based on $\gamma \in \Delta_M$ is defined by $v \mapsto v - \check{\gamma}(v)\gamma$, $v \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \Delta_M$. Using the finite root system theory, we get that $\{\check{\gamma}(\eta) \mid \gamma, \eta \in \Delta_M\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \cap [-4, 4]$, in particular $\beta(h_\alpha) = \check{\alpha}(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-4, 4]$.

(d) Using Proposition 1.9(i), it is enough to prove that R is locally finite. Suppose that M is a finite subset of R , then by part (a), $\Delta := \Delta_M$ is a finite root system in $\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \Delta$ where the reflection based on $\gamma \in \Delta_M$ is defined by $v \mapsto v - \check{\gamma}(v)\gamma$ for $v \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \Delta$. Take $\Pi := \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ to be a base of Δ . One knows that the Cartan matrix $(\check{\alpha}_i(\alpha_j))$ is an invertible matrix. So for any choice of $\{k_1, \dots, k_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$, the following system of equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \check{\alpha}_j(\alpha_i) x_i = k_j; \quad 1 \leq j \leq n$$

has a unique solution. Next suppose that $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ is such that $\eta := \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \alpha_i \in R$, then for any $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \check{\alpha}_j(\alpha_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \alpha_i(h_{\alpha_j}) = \eta(h_{\alpha_j}).$$

This means that $(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ is a solution for the following system of equations

$$(1.33) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \check{\alpha}_j(\alpha_i) x_i = \eta(h_{\alpha_j}); \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

But we know that by part (c), $\eta(h_{\alpha_j}) \in [-4, 4] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, so there are a finitely many choice for $\eta(h_{\alpha_j})$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. This together with the fact that (1.33) has a unique solution implies that there are a finitely many choice for $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i \alpha_i$ is a root. So $(\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} M) \cap R = (\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \Delta) \cap R = (\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}) \cap R$ is a finite set. Now if $\mathcal{W}$ is a finite dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{V} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} R$, then there is a finite set M of R such that $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} M$, so $\mathcal{W} \cap R \subseteq (\text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} M) \cap R$ and so $\mathcal{W} \cap R$ is a finite set. This shows that R is a locally finite root system in $\mathcal{V}$. It follows easily from Proposition 1.11(a) that if $\mathcal{L}$ is semi-simple, $\mathcal{L}$ is simple if and only if R is irreducible. Now let M be a subset of R , parts (a) and (b) shows that Δ_M is a subsystem of R . Next suppose that M is irreducible but Δ_M is not irreducible, then there is $\alpha \in \Delta_M$ such that α is connected to none of the elements of M , in particular,

$$(1.34) \quad \alpha(h_\beta) = 0; \quad \forall \beta \in M.$$

But we know there are $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in M$ such that $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m \pm \beta_i$. Take $M' := \{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$, we know from parts (a) and (b) that $\Delta_{M'}$ is a finite closed subsystem of R , so Proposition 1.30 implies that $h_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i h_{\beta_i}$ for

some $r_i \in \mathbb{F}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. This together with (1.34) implies that $\alpha(h_\alpha) = 0$ which is a contradiction. Therefore Δ_M is irreducible. $\square$

Proposition 1.35. *Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ is simple and locally finite such that all the weight spaces are finite dimensional, then $\mathcal{H}$ is a maximal toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$.*

Proof. Take $\{h_\alpha \mid \alpha \in R\}$ to be the splitting subset of $\mathcal{L}$. By Proposition 1.31(d), R is an irreducible locally finite root system in its span where the reflection based on $\alpha \in R$ is defined by $v \mapsto v - v(h_\alpha)\alpha$, $v \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}} R$. Now let T be a toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ containing $\mathcal{H}$. Take Φ to be the root system of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to T and for $\beta \in \Phi \cup \{0\}$, denote by $\mathcal{L}'_\beta$, the "weight space" of $\mathcal{L}$ corresponding to β . For $\alpha \in R \cup \{0\}$, set $A_\alpha := \{\beta \in \Phi \cup \{0\} \mid \beta|_{\mathcal{H}} = \alpha\}$, then by Lemma 1.3, we have $\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \bigoplus_{\beta \in A_\alpha} \mathcal{L}'_\beta$. Now let $t \in T$, since $\mathcal{H} \subseteq T$ and T is abelian, $t \in \mathcal{L}_0$, but $\mathcal{L}$ is simple, so Proposition 1.11(b) implies that $t \in \sum_{\alpha \in R} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}]$. Therefore there is a finite subset M of R such that $t \in \sum_{\alpha \in M} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}]$. Now take Δ to be a finite irreducible closed subsystem of R containing M (see [LN, Cor. 3.16]). Using Lemma 1.21, we get that

$$(1.36) \quad \mathcal{L}_\Delta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathcal{L}_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \sum_{\beta, \gamma \in A_\alpha} [\mathcal{L}'_\beta, \mathcal{L}'_{-\gamma}] \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \sum_{\beta \in A_\alpha} \mathcal{L}'_\beta$$

is an finite dimensional simple admissible Lie algebra. Now take $T_\Delta := T \cap \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}]$. It is read from (1.36) that $\mathcal{L}_\Delta$ has a weight space decomposition with respect to T_Δ , in other words T_Δ is a toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_\Delta$ containing $\mathcal{H}_\Delta = \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{L}_\Delta$ which results in $\mathcal{H}_\Delta = T_\Delta$ contemplating Lemmas 1.21 and 1.27. Now we have

$$t \in T \cap \sum_{\alpha \in M} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] \subseteq T \cap \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] = T_\Delta = \mathcal{H}_\Delta \subseteq \mathcal{H}.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Now one can use Propositions 1.35, 1.31(d) and Lemma 1.21 to get the following theorem:

Theorem 1.37. *Suppose that $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H})$ is a locally finite simple admissible Lie algebra whose weight spaces are finite dimensional. Take R to be the root system of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}$. Then R is an irreducible locally finite root system. Next take $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$ to be the class of finite irreducible closed subsystems of R . For $i \in I$, set $\mathcal{L}_i := \sum_{\alpha \in R_i} [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_{-\alpha}] \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in R_i} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{H}_i := \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{L}_i$. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is a maximal toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ and for $i \in I$, $\mathcal{H}_i$ is a maximal toral subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}_i$, also $(\mathcal{L}_i, \mathcal{H}_i)$ is a finite dimensional simple admissible Lie algebra with root system R_i . Moreover $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $\{\mathcal{L}_i \mid i \in I\}$ are directed systems with respect to inclusion, R is a direct limit of $\{R_i \mid i \in I\}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is a direct limit of $\{\mathcal{L}_i \mid i \in I\}$.*

REFERENCES

- [ABG] B.N. Allison, G. Benkart and Y. Gao, *Lie algebras graded by the root systems BC_r* , $r \geq 2$, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **158** (2002), no. 751, x+158.
- [BZ] G. Benkart and E. Zelmanov, *Lie algebras graded by finite root systems and intersection matrix algebras*, Invent. Math. **126** (1996), no. 1, 1–45.
- [BM] S. Berman and R. Moody, *Lie algebras graded by finite root systems and the intersection matrix algebras of Slodowy*, Invent. Math. **108** (1992), no. 2, 323–347.
- [H] J.E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [J] N. Jacobson, *Basic algebra II*, Second ed., W. H. Freeman and Company, 1989.
- [K] V. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, third ed., Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [LN] O. Loos and E. Neher, *Locally finite root systems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **171** (2004), no. 811, x+214.
- [MP] R. Moody and A. Pianzola, *Lie algebras with triangular decomposition*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York, 1995.
- [NS] K.H. Neeb and N. Stumme, *The classification of locally finite split simple Lie algebras*, J. Reine angew. Math. **533** (2001), 25–53.
- [N] E. Neher, *Lectures on root-graded and extended affine Lie algebras*, preprint.
- [Se] G.B. Seligman, *Rational methods in Lie algebras*, M. Dekker Lect. Notes in pure and appl. math. **17**, New York, 1976.
- [St] N. Stumme, *The Structure of locally finite split simple Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **220** (1990). no.2, 664–693.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ISFAHAN, ISFAHAN, IRAN, P.O.BOX 81745-163

E-mail address: `ma.yousefzadeh@sci.ui.ac.ir`.